

May 16, 2013 (#1332)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt May 16, 2013:
**Behaviour Modification Causes Hardly a Ripple,
Guaranteed to Work and it's So Simple:**

"Trained that Well-Being's All that Matters,
Rights, Freedoms, Privacy All in Tatters,
Threatened, Forced, Did We Agree to Comply?
It was Done by Persuasion and the Noble Lie,
Embedded in Talk Shows, Movies, Fiction,
Nudged Along with Neurolinguistic Diction,
High Priests of Conology Talked Down to Laity,
Must Give Up Privacy for Peace and Safety"

© Alan Watt May 16, 2013

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - May 16, 2013 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is **Cutting Through The Matrix** on May 16, 2013. I always start off the broadcast by advising newcomers to look into the website cuttingthroughthematrix.com and use the archive section there. You'll find lots and lots of audios for free download, where I go through the system that we are born into. It truly is a system, a well-organized system at that, that knows

exactly where it's going too, by those who lead it at the top. It's a system planned a long time ago using many, many fronts – in fact, it pays for many fronts and many of them are NGOs in fact. Because the big foundations got together, actually over a hundred years ago, to direct the world in the direction it's going into, for a scientifically designed system basically. That's where we're heading today. We're in a transition phase right now. But this century, for the Century of Change, the 21st century, is the century where all the old ideas of ultimate liberty, for those who were behind all the revolutions in the past, and still are today in fact, would get all the things that they had planned to get, this new society. But it's not the utopia that they talk about, really. It's a planned society where it definitely has a class system, but even the class system, they hope through science they can phase it out eventually with genetic engineering, which is really going full steam ahead. So were simply in a transitory phase, at least this generation right now, as we go through the big, big changes and into globalization.

Of course, those who run the system and set it up were the richest folk on the planet at the time. They formed their private clubs and they run governments. We don't elect them. Private corporations and the top bankers of the planet run, really, the governments; it's very, very simple. They call themselves the parallel government, and in actual fact they are the real government. They even put their own members of these private clubs in as prime ministers or presidential candidates, of the left-wing and the right wing; they've been doing it for a long, long time.

So help yourself to the website. Remember, that you bring me to you. You can buy the books and disks at cuttingthroughthematrix.com, which help me keep ticking over. [Order and donation options listed above.] In the books I go through the art of conology down through the ages, because conology runs the world. Everything is conology, really. Even basic education is conology when you understand what's behind the principles of education and really what they want out of the people, or the students. Because they want a uniform society, all politically correct, all standardized, and really, any dissenting voice on any particular topic is simply ostracized and out of the group; that's how it's done. Standardization is the big thing we're going through today. As I say, if you buy the books you'll find out how it's been done down through the ages. These are it's a very old, old arts indeed, and today they really truly are sciences which run the mind. The top psychiatrists, psychologists, behaviorists, and thinkers to do with the mind itself, and neuroscientists, all work with government, big, big think tanks working on behavior and *designed* behavior. Our perceptions are managed – they call it perception management – done through mass media, that's all on board with it, and owned by, as I say, the parallel government.

So as I say, it's a long, long story *but* go through the archive section and start to wake up to what reality is. It's not Disneyland at all. It's not fun. Because we're going through really tough times right now as we go through austerity, planned austerity, planned long before the bank crashes came along. In fact, they needed the bank crashes to happen in order to bring in austerity, in a post consumerist society. They talked about this back in the 1970s, the top think tanks that worked with the United Nations, like the Club of Rome; so they bring on the crisis that they need to get the things done. And we're still going through them, and we'll get more crises on the go through different areas of society to get all the rest of the changes too in the next few years. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix. As I've said before many, many, times, most folk don't know what reality is. Reality to them is what comes from television. In fact, the characters that they see on television are often more real today than people they actually know, because they don't know many people and not very well at that, because they spend more time in front of television than they do talking to real people most of the time. That's why they like certain shows, for instance, community type shows, like *held in bars*, where everybody meets in a bar and it's all set around a bar, things like that. It's something that you can relate to and you wish you had that kind of communication, even with zany friends like that, because most folk don't have any real friends, so to speak. That's why they like these things.

Psychology plays a big part in creating these kinds of shows for television. They know what the public are craving. They give them a fake, a substitute basically, and folk tune in every single night of the week whenever the series come up, you see. So we're well understood. We always have been well understood. But today as we go into our little boxes, really, this new cyber box you might say we're into, then the big boys are really having a great time on us. Because they've got instant access to our daily occurrences, whatever we're up to, talking on the cell phones or emailing or whatever, looking at websites and so on. They track all of that. The NSA and all the big boys at the top are also using it, and they also give access to that to certain universities to do studies on all of us. So we are all, all well understood. Each individual is well understood.

I read an article a few years ago from the Pentagon itself, it was an article that they released, saying that they have a *virtual* you in the Pentagon, in this massive computer program. So every citizen has got a virtual reality in there. They update it daily with all the stuff that you put up there freely and then they run tests on it to see how you would react in a situation in the virtual world, which works out to be much the same as you'll do in the real world; it's pretty accurate. It's all to do with control.

Absolute control means that everybody must be predictable. This is the tyrants' dream down through the ages. Tyrants generally are kind of paranoid, you understand, about things going wrong. In the past they used lots and lots of spies, mainly amongst their own people, down through the ages. But now they don't have to do that so much because we've all been given the computer, and I mean *given* it in a sense, because if it was dangerous to the big boys and their big agenda it would never have seen the light of day, we would never have seen it at all. But we were given it because it helps them to control all of us. Then they get the people addicted to it, just like television, until they think they can't do without it. And if they start to take away all the rights and freedoms on the Internet people will still stay at it. Even though all they're left with is the mainstream media, it won't matter because they can still get some porno or whatever else they allow out there, you see. Everything is well understood with humanity and how the psyche works.

So we live in an age where science runs the world, and science on behalf of the Masters who are the money boys at the top. They truly do believe in eugenics too. They believe that they are the most superior people, at the top. They prove it too, because they've held onto wealth for generations. Very, very important, that.

Simply getting awfully, awfully rich isn't enough. You've got to be able to prove it by going into genealogies. In other words, at least three or four, maybe five generations, maybe even more than that, at the very top, to show that your offspring are pretty well, again, standardized - like you are - at the top, and they will go into the same professions, and marry properly, meaning they'll be matched up by outsiders with their mates, again, powerful families, and then they'll have offspring too for their own progeny. That's how they live, through their progeny, down to the ages. So we're living through a scientific society.

Now, even the weather is controlled today. There's nothing new in this at all. They've been tampering with the weather, even during World War II, and afterwards as well, using different chemicals and techniques. All this talk about geoengineering is all PR stuff really because the big boys at the top know they've been geoengineering us, steadily, on pretty well a daily basis across most of the world since 1998. I can remember the first day it really started in earnest, because the aircraft were about half the height they are today and there were far more of them as they put down the first big trails; they literally were in a checkerboard fashion. It was astonishing. It was like walking into a sci-fi, and that was reality. It's astonishing. Since then the weather has been altered and they often kind of let leaks out of the bag here and there, that yes, that things definitely are being altered. The big boys to at the top have got a sort of futures market going to do with the food supply of the planet. What's amazing too, that came out, it was a new thing, global manipulation of foodstuffs across the world to the highest buyers, and at the same time as all the chemtrailing started. Once you get really underway, out they came, started making profits by betting on which countries will have famines because of droughts, or flooding. And they're pretty accurate now. Isn't that amazing? The same guys just happened to be pretty accurate with their guesses at the same time when they're manipulating the weather.

Now, weather warfare treaties have been signed at the United Nations since about 1970; they've been updated over the years with things added to them. But back in the first treaty they actually said, that it was now possible. In fact, it actually said, that it made the atom bomb obsolete. Weather warfare. They could decimate a country so fast and so easily, and VISIBLY... I mean, who are you going to blame? if the ones who are behind it don't admit to it? They said they could use HAARP - that's the high altitude auroral research program - which uses radio frequencies, high-powered, and pulsation, along with the spraying, and literally alter the weather into *anything* they wanted it to be. They can cause drought. They could cause flooding. They can cause earthquakes. And that was back *then*. So they signed an international treaty NOT to use it on each other in warfare. But all the treaties that they sign at the United Nations means that they could also use it upon their own people at home; that's okay. So it's been going on, even though not one government will come out and talk about it. They've all been told to shut up.

Now, here's an article here. Ha! It's not startling but I can understand the website itself, it's called aircrap.org, and [aircrap](http://aircrap.org), naturally like all media today, has to get headline grabber. But it's not startling, really. It says:

**Top Geoengineer confronted in Berkeley -
admits discussing poisoning the sky**

aircrap.org / May 15th, 2013

Top Geoengineer Ken Calderia proposes spraying chemicals in our skies to “blunt the worst effects of global warming.” But when confronted at a geoengineering debate in Berkeley, he was forced to admit there has been no global warming for at least 17 years (“it has leveled off”) and that when he worked at a nuclear weapons lab (*Alan: Because most of these guys, you understand, that they put forward to do these things, all worked in the weapons industry and scientific industries for weaponry. So he worked at a nuclear weapons lab...*), he discussed poisoning the sky (*A: Back then, because that was part of the things that they discuss, weapons/warfare.*), “putting pathogens in a cloud” to “rain down on your enemy and do chemical and germ warfare.”

That goes back to the 1950s, what he’s talking about there, because Teller, who made the H-bomb, was the first guy to say they can use polymers. Polymers give you a kind of thin plasticky look, like the stuff used in your basement’s to hold the insulation in basically; it gives an effect, and it’s kind of like polymers. And the polymers were designed to contain viruses or bacterium, it depends on what the load is to be, and they can carry it to its target and bring it down. So this guy who is now into chemtrails and geoengineering, it’s just a continuation of what he’s been doing for years basically; nothing new in that at all. I’ll put this link up tonight, because there’s a little video with it and you can watch it for yourselves.

Now, everyone knows too that there’s... We live in forms of slavery down through the ages. Charles Galton Darwin in his book called, **The Next Million Years**, a very good book to read actually. It shows you... not just the arrogance of those at the top, or those who work for those at the top, the scientific boys – and Charles Galton Darwin was a physicist, he worked on the Manhattan project. In his book he did say that, ‘WE’ – up at the top – must retain our wildness because man has to be – like any animal – has to have these wild instincts to survive. whereas the people should be domesticated – the public – and he says, because the state will be making all of their decisions for them, so they won’t need these instincts for survival. Hence, you have all the massive psycho-engineering being done on the public today, and the school children as well.

He did go through ways of using various hormones, for instance, to alter the general public, especially the male and effeminize them, then they wouldn’t be so aggressive when it came to massive changes. He said, we can put it in the water, we can inject into them, put it into their food and so on. And of course, spraying from the sky was one way of doing it too. However, they can also put it in the pesticides – and it actually is in the pesticides too, xeno-estrogens; that’s basically synthetic estrogens. It’s in the pesticides which they dump on all the crops. So you’re eating that too. So it gets into you one way or another. So this kind of warfare is nothing new it all.

But also to do with this system of so-called fairness. As I say, the boys at the top are always pushing democracy; they don’t believe in it at all. They believe in a scientifically controlled society – like HG Wells in his book, **The Shape of Things to Come**, who belonged to the Fabian Society – that a scientific elite should rule the world on behalf of the Masters at the top, which we have today. So the weather is controlled. We find that we’re all slaves in one form or another, like Charles Galton Darwin says. And he says, there’s always been slavery in one form

or another and today we're making a more sophisticated form of slavery. That was back in the 1950s. Well, you're living through it today.

Now, most folk have been trained OUT of simply having basic rights now and privacy. And they're accepting, gradually, that authoritarian specialists have the right to rule over them, and agencies government. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix. And just before I get off the topic of geoengineering, getting back to the fact that geoengineering is nothing new. And under the guise of geoengineering too, most of these guys worked for the warfare industry in the past. Because government is really into this in a big way, of raining down different things from the sky on enemies and they have been for an awful long time. Just like the bacterial warfare, viral warfare, but they also have chemical warfare. Some viruses too, you can look them up for yourselves. They have viruses which can attack all plastics, for instance; they can spray that from the sky; everything will start to disintegrate. Rubber, for your tires. They have other ones which will attack brick even, and stone. Other ones, wood, etc. So they have so many specialized things of weaponry to do with disintegrating, of things we need. It's just astonishing. Never mind the food stuffs and all of that too. So these are the guys who are now into geoengineering, to save us all, you know.

Just like Monsanto and Dow Chemical worked together to make Agent Orange in warfare, and now they're into pesticides and growing your food. Because they love you, you know. The same kind of thing going on. And we're always sold wonderful PR by them and their marketers, of how they really want to help the planet. What they want to do of course is take over the food supply of the whole planet and have the patents on all of it, so you can't even save any seeds and plant them again. That's what it's about. Total control. But that's the way that the world really works.

Also too, this new world order that it's often called, and professor Quigley who was the historian for the Council on Foreign Relations, the American branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, a private organization again, one of the biggest elite clubs there is, which also ran and created the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderbergs, and all the rest of it, and the World Trade Organization, and the Bank for International Settlements, the International Monetary Fund, etc., etc. This private organization that runs all of our lives through economics, they also are into setting up corporations in this new feudal system, as Quigley called it. Because this is the new feudal system where corporations run the world in collusion with governments, you see. They put their own boys in and out of governments all the time. Look at the resumes of those who apply to get into government and politics. The top-tier are always ex-CEOs of corporations; once they've served a little bit in government they're back into the same positions again. In and out like musical chairs.

Now, the average person lives in fear and that's how the general public are run, by law. Law is to do with, basically, intimidation, coercion; in other words, threat, and most folk comply when threat comes along. Then the use of force, ultimately, not only to get what they want for government but also to terrify everybody else because they make sure they all know about it. But the big boys themselves, for instance they don't worry about taxes and things, because they have so many

special privileges. A long time ago, even at the very start of the Cold War, even before the Cold War, big corporations worked in collusion with government all the time, and got lots of funding especially for war products, to *save us all*, again. And that has never stopped even before World War II started, right through it to the present time. So we have this strange system of teaching the public that we're all Democratic and that we have private industries. In reality, the top companies are always getting handouts from government. Not just loans, but handouts, *grants*, *gifts*. And here you have one here and it says:

HMRC tax deal ruled 'not unlawful'

uk.news.yahoo.com / Press Association / May 16, 2013

The "sweetheart" tax deal between HM (A: *Her Majesty's...*) Revenue and Customs (A: *In England.*) and Goldman Sachs (A: *Now, Goldman Sachs is like the big kingpin of the world for not just the banking industry, but Goldman Sachs is one of the biggest companies that owns lots and lots and lots of other companies, even many of the corporations that are household names, to do with the warfare industry itself. So they're not really independent at all, these big companies; they're actually owned by the top bankers. So anyway, Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs went after Goldman Sachs but it says that the procedure...*) was procedurally (A: *Of the little case that they had there...*) flawed but not unlawful, the High Court has ruled. (A: *So they ruled in favor of Goldman Sachs in the decision that was made.*)

A judge listed a number of HMRC failings, including Dave Hartnett, then permanent secretary for tax, wrongly taking into account "the potential embarrassment" to Chancellor George Osborne if the settlement worth up to £20 million did not go through.

Mr Hartnett initially shook hands on the deal on November 19, 2010 following a long-running dispute with Goldman Sachs over National Insurance contribution payments dating back to the 1990s.

Mr Justice Nicol, sitting in London, said it was "not a glorious episode in the history of the Revenue". But he ruled case law showed that "maladministration and illegality" were separate issues, and allowing the deal to go ahead was not itself unlawful.

So they can make exceptions for the big boys but God help the little guy that just can't balance his own books for government; they don't get any of this kind of stuff. So, of course we live in a class system, very much a class system, actually, worse than it used to be. It's worse than the folk actually imagine because many of the left-wing NGOs and so on are funded by the foundations, which these big corporations own, under the guise of philanthropy.

Also too:

Three mass graves with 1,000 corpses found in Iraq

presstv.com / May 16, 2013

Iraqi officials have found three mass graves containing the bodies of about 1,000 people thought to have been executed by US soldiers during their occupation of the country.

The graves were uncovered in Iraq's western province of al-Anbar. The remains are believed to be from victims killed by US forces during 2004 and 2005 in the city of Fallujah, located roughly 69 kilometers (43 miles) west of Baghdad. *(A: Back with more after this.)*

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix talking about the mass graves found in Iraq. I'll put this link up tonight too. I'll put them all up, all these articles that I mention, at cuttingthroughthematrix.com at the end of the broadcast and you can read them for yourselves. It's a good idea to keep them too if they're interesting to you because we're living in an age, like Orwell said, that important stuff goes DOWN THE MEMORY HOLE. Nothing is on paper now; it just goes... you know, it just gets wiped off and it's gone. And who are you going to tell in the future? You know, who can you tell? And what are you going to show them?

Now also:

UK's top companies *(A: The top companies, the big corporate companies.*

There's nothing new in this again.)

condemned for prolific use of tax havens - 30 in Malta

independent.com / 16 May 2013

The UK's 100 biggest public companies are running more than 8,000 subsidiaries or joint ventures in onshore and offshore tax havens, according to research published on Monday, raising fresh concerns about the full extent of corporate tax avoidance. *(A: Avoidance apparently is okay. It's evasion that's bad. It's all to do with wording in the law.)*

The figures, published by the charity ActionAid, show that only two of the companies listed on the UK's FTSE 100 have no subsidiaries in tax havens - while companies such as Barclays and Tesco own hundreds. *(A: Well, that's standard. They've been teaching this stuff in universities for years to guys who are trained to be taxed specialists for the corporations. So nothing will happen about it because it's not illegal for them.)*

Another article that came out too, it came out last year as well but this is an update on it. It says here...

**New cables 'expose' US govt lobbies worldwide
for Monsanto, other GMO corps**

rt.com / May 15, 2013

After US diplomatic cables released by WikiLeaks showed that the State Department was lobbying worldwide for Monsanto and other similar corporations, a new report based on the cables shows Washington's shilling *(A: Meaning, pushing.)* for the biotech industry in distinct detail.

The August 2011 WikiLeaks revelations showed that American diplomats had requested funding to send lobbyists for the biotech industry to hold talks with politicians and agricultural officials in "target countries" in areas like Africa and Latin America, where genetically-modified crops were not yet a mainstay,

as well as some European countries that have resisted the controversial agricultural practice. *(A: So governments are anything but neutral in this day and age, when you have this complete blending of corporations, big money and government itself. And this is standard practice today. It's a form of warfare, really, you know. And of course the countries that will say, no we don't want it, well when it comes to you getting any deals done with the US on trade or anything like that, then of course the US will block it until they give in. Everything is done this way.)*

Also, this article's quite amazing too. We all know there's all this stuff going on about hacking, all these big conferences going on, and the...

National Cyber Security Alliance and LGBT Technology Partnership Launch Internet Safety Initiative for LGBT Community

prnewswire.com

(A: So they've got special safety, so that THEY can do their stuff in private and the general public can't. It doesn't work today not to be in a minority of some kind, you know; you've got to find one to belong to; maybe create one. Anyway it says...)

WASHINGTON, May 16, 2013 /PRNewswire-USNewswire/ -- The National Cyber Security Alliance (NCSA), a non-profit public-private partnership focused on helping all digital citizens stay safer and more secure online, today announced a new collaboration initiative with the LGBT Technology Partnership to encourage greater awareness about cybersecurity and safety issues for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender communities.

So I wonder how much money they'll get from the government for that? It's great to be in these charities today, you know. It's a good cause, sort of thing; you always get a cause that's now politically correct, preferably the latest cause, and you have more of a chance of getting the cash funding that you're after. It's quite profitable. So I'll put this up tonight too and also this other article to do with it too.

EEOC's Draft Plan Targets Recruiting And Hiring Practices, LGBT Bias, Pregnancy Accommodation, ADAAA Issues

wa-emp.com

(A: They should issue dictionaries today, shouldn't they, to do with all of these associations and organizations.)

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity (EEOC) has laid out several principles and priorities in its draft Strategic Enforcement Plan that reveal an agency poised to enforce antidiscrimination laws in the most efficient and effective way possible. The EEOC also makes clear exactly what problems it intends to target going forward, including systemic recruitment and hiring practices that are intentionally biased or have an unintentional adverse impact on minorities, women and people with disabilities. *(A: So now they're going into the latest ones, which of course is LGBT and so on. I've got*

another article on that tonight so I'll put that up too.)

Why Online Privacy, Confidentiality and Security Matter to LGBT Communities -
huffingtonpost.com

Remember as well, that many of the big boys, the CFR for instance, and the Rockefeller foundation as another example too, have published many articles about the future of governance. Governance today is to bypass the general public, the silent majority they call them, and have official spokespeople from NGOs, non-governmental organizations. That's the new form... When they say democracy, that's what they mean by it; they don't mean the general population. So they want an organized core of people who say they represent the public, but of course you don't elect them. That's why they get so much funding. They are also for radical change. You can't get the funding, by the way, from the government unless you're for - and the wording is - *for radical change* of all existing systems and institutions. And the comical part is, the biggest institutions, financial institutions, helped finance them, actually set them up, the foundations themselves, run by the top bankers of the world. So again, a lot of them are actually working - whether you like it or not - for the same establishment. They don't really like that when you mention it, but that's the facts. That's the facts; they work for the biggest establishment, who obviously profit from it all.

Also I'm going to put up tonight too, about all of the eco-friendly stuff. We know all about, for instance, the econo-bulbs that they put out now, and all of the warnings on ultraviolet lights, as people are getting burned from them. Now they find that the LED lights:

**Do 'environmentally friendly' LED lights cause
BLINDNESS?**

dailymail.co.uk / Rachel Reilly / 14 May 2013

Spanish research has shown that blue LED light can irreparably damage the cells in the eye's retina.

This is not the first time energy-saving bulbs have been criticized - fluorescent bulbs emit dangerous UV light.

LED lights have been touted as a super-efficient alternative to traditional bulbs because they use up to 85 per cent less energy and each bulb can last up to 10 years.

In April, Philips, the world's biggest lighting maker, reported a 38 per cent jump in LED light sales from last year.

A Spanish study has shown that the light emitted by LED bulbs can damage the cells in the retina. Some experts are now calling for a filter to be fitted in the bulbs. *(A: To help save the eye.)*

They are already widely used in mobile phones, televisions, computer screens and can also be fitted as a replacement for traditional lighting in the home. *(A: So I'll put the whole study up tonight for those who want to read it, or who care about it. And if your eyes are not kaput then maybe you can still*

read it; I don't know, we'll see.)

I want to also go to this particular article here because I've mentioned many times about how science is to run our lives, and it is running our lives whether you like it or not; most folk don't know it. I mean, neuroscientists work big time with marketers, especially with government marketers now, to put ideas across to the public - they call it 'nudging' the public - into making the *correct* choices on things. You see. Nudging. And they've gone further in some countries because under the United Nations big plan for the system, they pick certain countries for test beds for studies, like basically a laboratory to see how they can work out other problems before letting it loose on the entire planet. We know too that of course the family unit was one of the first things to be attacked about this system. They wanted to destroy the family unit as we all knew it and they've pretty well done that. However, they also want the State to have more say in the child's upbringing. In fact, they want the child to be literally the exact kind of citizen that they really prefer. In fact, now they demand it. So they're testing that out in Scotland as I've mentioned before. But here's an article, it's quite a good article; it's from Stuart Walton. He says...

Stuart Walton: Care plan sees dystopian future arriving early

scotsman.com / 08/05/2013

(A: Now, this guy apparently wrote a dystopian science fiction novel once; it was to do with big brother and so on.) ABOUT ten years ago, a bit bored with my attempts to change the world, I decided to write a dystopian science fiction novel.

The conceit of this never-to-be-written book was the complete collapse of privacy illustrated by the "supporters" (*A: Of the government.*) that everyone was given when they had a child. In this futuristic hell, as soon as a woman gave birth, a support worker would come and live in her house, both to help the parents with the difficult child and to protect the child from the potentially abusive parents. (*A: So this is going to be a novel.*)

There was no longer an expectation, or even an understanding of privacy, intimacy, trust, or even a relationship with and between parent and child. Rather, relationships were mediated through your own personal support worker. (*A: The State supplied one.*)

Unfortunately, this dystopian future has arrived a little faster than I imagined, as last week the Scottish Government's plan to give every child a state guardian from birth was launched. This state-appointed overseer will be a specific, named individual, and every child will have one, from birth. The responsibility for creating this named guardian will fall on the heads of the health boards for the first five years of a child's life, before being transferred to councils. Perhaps the most worrying aspect of this development is that it clearly comes in large part as a mechanism to target and prevent child abuse.

(A: Well, that's a cover for it. That's the cover for it. If you want the rest of the story, the real story, you have to go into the writings of Lord Bertrand

Russell, who actually was given experimental schools to get the perfect world citizen created. He helped to destroy the family unit too. He got away with so much, because he was given a Royal charter to do things that the average citizen could never do in a school. But he was promoting prepubertal sex between the children, and it was under the presumption, and he was correct too, that if they could hyper actuate the senses and make them hyper sexual then they'd never mate for life with a partner and have children. So he talked too about the state bringing up the child. He says, we used to think that the state would have to bring up the child and separate them from their mother at birth, and then we'd feed into them the exact sort of character we wanted and that they would turn out to be. He says, but now with the indoctrination we give them in kindergarten, scientific indoctrination, with these techniques, he says, even though we give them back their child in the evening or the afternoon, he says, the input from the parents will be negligible; it wouldn't work with the child; the conditioning was scientifically created. so the parents can still stay as the economic provider; that's all that their function would be. That's what it is today. Anyway, getting back to this article here, it says:)

Concerns about this new statutory initiative built into the Children and Young People Bill have been raised by some MSPs (A: *Members of the Scottish Parliament.*), but largely on technical grounds: Can the state afford to have a "supporter" for every child? How will the child get to know this person and how will they develop a trusting relationship with them?

Children's minister Aileen Campbell has said the approach would be useful in that a specific individual will have the responsibility of overseeing the wellbeing of specific children. (A: *Now, you'd better understand 'well-being'. It's a very important term because it's an official term from the boys at the top. Even the US Treasurer has mentioned it, that they'll have to get off the GDP and go into gross well-being. This is a big agenda they've got, and this is part of the well-being thing, by bringing up a whole new generation of children with their own advocates, you might say, not within the family.*) As she put it, this will "make sure there is someone having an overview of what is happening to that child, to make sure that early indicators of anything that would pose a threat or risk to that child are flagged up". (A: *Now, what they're talking about is any - as Bertrand Russell called it - 'contamination' of ideas from the older culture to the young. That's how he said it. And this is what this is about, to make sure the child is given no other thoughts except the ones that are laid out for them to think about, and the same opinions; any other opinions will be a threat, you see.*)

Part of the plan is that professionals increasingly share information with one another. (A: *there's so many organizations and professionals, it's a growing industry of course. And they're all given access to all the data on every single individual in Scotland, through your whole life, by the way. This starts with the child but it's to be 'lifelong learning', they call it, with advocates and psychological testing. So anyway, they share information with one another and so on.*) Like the "every child matters" approach in England and Wales, (A: *See, they've got different names for the different countries, but it's all the same thing.*) "safeguarding" children is now the priority of anyone working with children, be that a teacher, a dentist, a youth worker, a swimming

instructor and so on. And at one level this sounds OK – anything that stops child abuse...

On the other hand, it can be seen as having little to do with the problem of abused [children] kids and more to do with our culture of suspicion. It is not the case that families are more abusive today. What has changed is that our faith in one another, and our belief in the importance of privacy, has diminished. (*A: You've all been taught you don't need it, you see. You've all been taught you don't need it. Getting back to what I mentioned about with Charles Galton Darwin, those who lead the world will keep their wild instincts. Suspicion, you see, instincts, survival mechanisms; you won't need them because the state has taught you, and they make all your decisions for you. You understand, we're living through a script.*) We have also lost a coherent sense of public duty and subsequently “child safety” has become a new, off-the-shelf, framework that attempts to offer coherence to people running public services and professional bodies.

It is arguable to what extent “every child” can really be protected by our new guardians, or indeed that these named professionals will take seriously the need to keep an eye on “nice” families. (*A: And again too, it's all going to be PC families; that's going to be the 'nice' ticks; you'll get bad X marks, you see, ticks along their little check board, if you have varying opinions outside of what's promoted by the State.*) However, as the meaning of abuse and harm expands to include things like being bullied, or even being shouted at, the potential for professional intervention into family life is growing.

Add to this the emphasis being placed on all child-related professionals to watch out for abuse, and the suspicious and indeed corrosive nature of this approach is all too clear. Essentially, the idea of a specific person looking after the interests of a child coming with the name of “father” or “mother” has been lost from Scottish society – or at least lost within the corridors of power.

Increasingly, decisions about our children's wellbeing are being taken out of our hands. Not just education, but sex, health, lifestyle, even political life is taught to children by people outside the home. In Dundee, where I live, I hear of cases where children are instructed to tell their parents that the school doesn't approve of the contents of the parent-provided lunchbox. Elsewhere, we hear of teachers reporting parents to social services for allowing their children to “risk” cycling to school. (*A: You get reported. The teachers report you if you let your child cycle to school.*) And just about everywhere we have children being encouraged to tell their own parents off (*A: You know...*) for smoking or drinking at home. (*A: Now, that technique was discussed, again, from the World Health Organization, how they would train the children to go home and start nagging their parents; that's been done for quite a few years now.*)

The recognition of the importance of privacy, of the authority of parents and the protection of this privacy and authority by society is declining fast. In fact, researching the issue of the “autonomous family”, a hugely important building block for British society, it is noticeable that at the level of policy this idea has completely disappeared. (*A: There's no privacy. Back with more after this.*)

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and going through an article here to do with this brave new world order, where everyone is to be given their own government mandated Guardian, basically, who's going to keep tabs on you throughout your life. It might not be the same one throughout your entire life but they'll have a certain one up through a certain age through school, and it's all to do with lifelong learning; meaning, lifelong upgrades. You're getting upgraded like a program throughout your whole life, to make sure that you're on board with all the new PC stuff that's going to come down the pike. You've got to be politically correct in this system, to serve the masters better, you understand.

Remember, in the **Communist Manifesto** was the destruction of the family unit. That was the *complete* destruction of it, and that was a big, big part of it. Then the ones, the radicals, the Trotskyists and so on, they formed the left-wing parties after World War II, still push that to the present day, destruction of the family unit, so the State would take over. The parents, or parent... there's generally single parents now, they get money from the governments, so the government says, *we have a right to come in and dictate the policy to this child.*

Today it is assumed parenting is simply too hard, children are simply too vulnerable and risks are simply too great to allow for this luxury called "privacy". (*A: You see.*) This is why nobody is attacking this new bill in defence of privacy and the autonomous family.

In my dystopian future (*A: This novel he was going to write.*), the hero Michael, who was an outsider to this (not so brave) New World, started off as a self-confident, well-adjusted, strong character. (*A: Like the average guy used to be, you see.*) By the end of the story he had become a nervous, obsessively self-conscious, fragile, distrusting and yet conformist individual. Accepting the need to abandon privacy, engaged with the idea of risk - especially in relationships - Michael's life soon became empty of true bonds or friendships. This loveless and bloodless existence led to... but that would spoil the end. But then, who needs a sci-fi novel when we have our very own "state guardians".

Welcome to my world!

This guy too, who wrote this, Stuart Waiton, is a sociology and criminology lecturer at the University of Abertay Dundee. So he deals with criminology, and I can see why he's picked on this particular topic, because it's a crime what they're doing. There's no doubt about it. It's to have big impacts, of social engineering. Quite something isn't it? I'll also put up another article to do with this too, to do with the early intervention; that's another term that they're using for the same thing. That's where they're going to issue everyone their own particular government Guardian. And I'll put this one up tonight too, from home education forums for those who want to see more of it.

home-education.biz

Also too, ha! I've mentioned so many times that the best cons of all, today, and have been for a long time actually, are charities. Charities are great for cons because folk open up their wallets and their hearts, and they're just born suckers basically for every hard luck story that comes along. Anything that pulls at

emotion tends to open the wallet. So it says...

Ringleader of \$57 million Holocaust survivor fraud found guilty

haaretz.com / By JTA | May 9, 2013

(A: Can you imagine. \$57 million fraud.)

Semen Domnister, the former Claims Conference employee who was charged with leading a fraud scheme at the Holocaust restitution organization, faces up to 20 years behind bars. *(A: What a con, \$57 million, eh.)*

U.S. prosecutors work to keep lid on \$57m Holocaust Claims Conference case

The Forward / Apr.12, 2013

Semen Domnister, the former Claims Conference employee who was charged with leading a \$57 million fraud scheme at the Holocaust restitution organization was found guilty on all counts by a U.S. District Court jury in Manhattan.

The Wednesday verdict ended a four-week trial in which two others, Oksana Romalis and Luba Kramrish, were also found guilty. Twenty-eight people charged in the fraud scheme had pleaded guilty earlier. *(A: Boy, oh boy. And the German government was paying it all out. Boy, I'll tell you. Anyway, that's the world we live in.)*

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[You Could Imagine Putting Pathogens in A Cloud](#)

[HMRC Tax Deal with Goldman Sachs "Not Unlawful"](#)

[Three Mass Graves Found in Iraq---1,000 People Executed by US Troops](#)

[UK's top companies condemned for prolific use of tax havens - 30 in Malta](#)

[New cables 'expose' US govt lobbies worldwide for Monsanto, other GMO corps](#)

[National Cyber Security Alliance and LGBT Technology Partnership Launch Internet Safety Initiative for LGBT Community](#)

[Why Online Privacy, Confidentiality and Security Matter to LGBT Communities](#)

[EEOC's Draft Plan Targets Recruiting And Hiring Practices, LGBT Bias, Pregnancy Accommodation, ADAAA Issues](#)

[LED Lighting and Eye Damage](#)

[Scotland---Every Child has a State-Appointed Overseer](#)

[& More on Above](#)

[Ringleader of Holocaust Survivor Fraud Found Guilty](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"